Universal notions of independences

27. januar 2010

Conference on Ambit Processes, Non-semimartingales and Applications Sandbjerg, January 2010

Steen Thorbjørnsen Institut for Matematiske Fag Århus Universitet

Definition. A non-commutative probability space is a pair (\mathcal{A}, φ) , where

(日) (四) (三) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Definition. A non-commutative probability space is a pair (\mathcal{A}, φ) , where

• \mathcal{A} is a unital algebra.



Definition. A non-commutative probability space is a pair (\mathcal{A}, φ) , where

- \mathcal{A} is a unital algebra.
- $\varphi \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a linear functional, such that $\varphi(\mathbf{1}) = 1$.

Definition. A non-commutative probability space is a pair (\mathcal{A}, φ) , where

- \mathcal{A} is a unital algebra.
- $\varphi \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a linear functional, such that $\varphi(\mathbf{1}) = 1$.

Recall that

An algebra is a vectorspace A, which is equipped with an associative product (a, b) → a · b : A × A → A, which behaves nicely in combination with the linear operations, e.g.

Definition. A non-commutative probability space is a pair (\mathcal{A}, φ) , where

- \mathcal{A} is a unital algebra.
- $\varphi \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a linear functional, such that $\varphi(\mathbf{1}) = 1$.

Recall that

An algebra is a vectorspace A, which is equipped with an associative product (a, b) → a · b: A × A → A, which behaves nicely in combination with the linear operations, e.g.

 $a \cdot (b+c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ for all a, b, c in \mathcal{A} .

- 4 同 2 4 日 2 4 日 2

Non-Commutative probability spaces

Definition. A non-commutative probability space is a pair (\mathcal{A}, φ) , where

- $\bullet \ \mathcal{A}$ is a unital algebra.
- $\varphi \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a linear functional, such that $\varphi(\mathbf{1}) = 1$.

Recall that

- An algebra is a vectorspace A, which is equipped with an associative product (a, b) → a · b : A × A → A, which behaves nicely in combination with the linear operations, e.g.
 a · (b + c) = a · b + a · c for all a, b, c in A.
- We do not assume that the product is commutative, i.e. generally $a \cdot b \neq b \cdot a$.

Definition. A non-commutative probability space is a pair (\mathcal{A}, φ) , where

- $\bullet \ \mathcal{A}$ is a unital algebra.
- $\varphi \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a linear functional, such that $\varphi(\mathbf{1}) = 1$.

Recall that

- An algebra is a vectorspace A, which is equipped with an associative product (a, b) → a · b: A × A → A, which behaves nicely in combination with the linear operations, e.g.
 a · (b + c) = a · b + a · c for all a, b, c in A.
- We do not assume that the product is commutative, i.e. generally $a \cdot b \neq b \cdot a$.
- We say that A is unital, if there exists a (necessarily unique) neutral element 1 with respect to the product.

The category of algebras with linear functionals

By $\mathfrak{Am}\mathfrak{F}$ we denote the category of algebras \mathcal{A} (over \mathbb{C}) equipped with linear functionals $\varphi \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}$.



The category of algebras with linear functionals

By \mathfrak{AwF} we denote the category of algebras \mathcal{A} (over \mathbb{C}) equipped with linear functionals $\varphi \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}$.

A "product" in the category \mathfrak{AwF} is an operation \bullet on \mathfrak{AwF} in the form:

$$(\mathcal{A}, \varphi) \bullet (\mathcal{B}, \psi) \mapsto (\mathcal{A} \bullet \mathcal{B}, \varphi \bullet \psi).$$

The category of algebras with linear functionals

By \mathfrak{AwF} we denote the category of algebras \mathcal{A} (over \mathbb{C}) equipped with linear functionals $\varphi \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}$.

A "product" in the category \mathfrak{AwF} is an operation \bullet on \mathfrak{AwF} in the form:

$$(\mathcal{A}, \varphi) \bullet (\mathcal{B}, \psi) \mapsto (\mathcal{A} \bullet \mathcal{B}, \varphi \bullet \psi).$$

In addition there are associated canonical embeddings:

$$\iota_{\mathcal{A}} \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} \bullet \mathcal{B}, \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_{\mathcal{B}} \colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A} \bullet \mathcal{B}.$$

Consider a product " \bullet " on \mathfrak{AwF} .

Consider a product " \bullet " on \mathfrak{AwF} .

Suppose (\mathcal{A}, φ) is a NC-probability space and that \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 are two subalgebras of \mathcal{A} .

Consider a product " \bullet " on \mathfrak{AwF} .

Suppose (\mathcal{A}, φ) is a NC-probability space and that \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 are two subalgebras of \mathcal{A} .

Then A_1 and A_2 are called •-*independent*, if there exists a homomorphism $h: A_1 \bullet A_2 \to A$ such that the following diagram commutes (also at the level of linear functionals):

Consider a product " \bullet " on \mathfrak{AwF} .

Suppose (\mathcal{A}, φ) is a NC-probability space and that \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 are two subalgebras of \mathcal{A} .

Then A_1 and A_2 are called \bullet -*independent*, if there exists a homomorphism $h: A_1 \bullet A_2 \to A$ such that the following diagram commutes (also at the level of linear functionals):



Suppose X_1 and X_2 are two (real-valued) random variables on the classical probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) .



Suppose X_1 and X_2 are two (real-valued) random variables on the classical probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) .

Consider then the NC-probability space $(\mathcal{L}^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P), \mathbb{E})$.

Suppose X_1 and X_2 are two (real-valued) random variables on the classical probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) .

Consider then the NC-probability space $(\mathcal{L}^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P), \mathbb{E})$.

Consider also the two subalgebras given by

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \{ f(\mathtt{X}_1) \mid f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}) \}$$

 $\mathcal{A}_2 = \{ f(\mathtt{X}_2) \mid f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}) \}.$

Suppose X_1 and X_2 are two (real-valued) random variables on the classical probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) .

Consider then the NC-probability space $(\mathcal{L}^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P), \mathbb{E})$.

Consider also the two subalgebras given by

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \{ f(\mathbf{X}_1) \mid f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}) \}$$
$$\mathcal{A}_2 = \{ f(\mathbf{X}_2) \mid f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}) \}.$$

Assume that \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 are \otimes -independent, i.e. there exists a mapping $h: \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2 \to (\mathcal{L}^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P), \mathbb{E})$ such that the following diagram commutes:





Here,

 $\iota_1(f(\mathtt{X}_1)) = f(\mathtt{X}_1) \otimes \mathbf{1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_2(g(\mathtt{X}_2)) = \mathbf{1} \otimes g(\mathtt{X}_2),$

(日) 《聞) 《臣) 《臣) 臣 うえぐ



Here,

 $\iota_1(f(\mathtt{X}_1)) = f(\mathtt{X}_1) \otimes \mathbf{1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_2(g(\mathtt{X}_2)) = \mathbf{1} \otimes g(\mathtt{X}_2),$

and, by necessity,

$$h(f(X_1)\otimes g(X_2))=f(X_1)\cdot g(X_2).$$

Note then for f, g in $\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R})$ that

$$\mathbb{E}\big[h(f(\mathtt{X}_1)\otimes g(\mathtt{X}_2))\big]=\mathbb{E}\big[f(\mathtt{X}_1)\cdot g(\mathtt{X}_2)\big]$$



Note then for f, g in $\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R})$ that

$$\mathbb{E}\big[h(f(\mathtt{X}_1)\otimes g(\mathtt{X}_2))\big] = \mathbb{E}\big[f(\mathtt{X}_1)\cdot g(\mathtt{X}_2)\big]$$

and that

$$\mathbb{E}\otimes\mathbb{E}\big[f(\mathtt{X}_1)\otimes g(\mathtt{X}_2)\big]=\mathbb{E}[f(\mathtt{X}_1)]\cdot\mathbb{E}[g(\mathtt{X}_2)].$$

Note then for f, g in $\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R})$ that

$$\mathbb{E}\big[h(f(\mathtt{X}_1)\otimes g(\mathtt{X}_2))\big]=\mathbb{E}ig[f(\mathtt{X}_1)\cdot g(\mathtt{X}_2)ig]$$

and that

$$\mathbb{E}\otimes\mathbb{E}[f(X_1)\otimes g(X_2)]=\mathbb{E}[f(X_1)]\cdot\mathbb{E}[g(X_2)].$$

Thus the commutativity of the diagram is equivalent to the condition:

$$\forall f,g \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}) \colon \mathbb{E}\big[f(\mathtt{X}_1) \cdot g(\mathtt{X}_2)\big] = \mathbb{E}[f(\mathtt{X}_1)] \cdot \mathbb{E}[g(\mathtt{X}_2)].$$

For two algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , the *co-product* $\mathcal{A} \coprod \mathcal{B}$ is the unique (up to isomorphism) algebra, satisfying the following universal property:

For two algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , the *co-product* $\mathcal{A} \coprod \mathcal{B}$ is the unique (up to isomorphism) algebra, satisfying the following universal property:

For any algebra \mathcal{C} and any homomorphisms $j_A \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{C}$ and $j_B \colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$, there is a *unique* homomorphism $h \colon \mathcal{A} \coprod \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

For two algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , the *co-product* $\mathcal{A} \coprod \mathcal{B}$ is the unique (up to isomorphism) algebra, satisfying the following universal property:

For any algebra \mathcal{C} and any homomorphisms $j_A \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{C}$ and $j_B \colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$, there is a *unique* homomorphism $h \colon \mathcal{A} \coprod \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that the following diagram commutes:



For two algebras A and B, the *co-product* $A \coprod B$ is the unique (up to isomorphism) algebra, satisfying the following universal property:

For any algebra \mathcal{C} and any homomorphisms $j_A \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{C}$ and $j_B \colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$, there is a *unique* homomorphism $h \colon \mathcal{A} \coprod \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that the following diagram commutes:



• ι_A and ι_B are canonical embeddings.

For two algebras \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , the *co-product* $\mathcal{A} \coprod \mathcal{B}$ is the unique (up to isomorphism) algebra, satisfying the following universal property:

For any algebra \mathcal{C} and any homomorphisms $j_A \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{C}$ and $j_B \colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$, there is a *unique* homomorphism $h \colon \mathcal{A} \coprod \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that the following diagram commutes:



- ι_A and ι_B are canonical embeddings.
- The homomorphism *h* is denoted by $j_A \coprod j_B$.

Universal products of algebras with functionals

A universal product in the category \mathfrak{AwF} is a product " \bullet " in the form:

Universal products of algebras with functionals

A universal product in the category \mathfrak{AwF} is a product " \bullet " in the form:

$$((\mathcal{A}_1, \varphi_1), (\mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_2)) \mapsto (\mathcal{A}_1 \coprod \mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_1 \bullet \varphi_2).$$

Universal products of algebras with functionals

A universal product in the category \mathfrak{AwF} is a product "•" in the form:

$$((\mathcal{A}_1, \varphi_1), (\mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_2)) \mapsto (\mathcal{A}_1 \coprod \mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_1 \bullet \varphi_2).$$

In this case the product " \bullet " is determined by the operation:

$$(\varphi_1,\varphi_2)\mapsto \varphi_1\bullet\varphi_2.$$

Independence associated to a universal product

Suppose A_1 and A_2 are subalgebras of a NC-probability space (A, φ) .

(ロト 《母 》 《臣 》 《臣 》 三日 うんの

Independence associated to a universal product

Suppose A_1 and A_2 are subalgebras of a NC-probability space (A, φ) .

Then the diagram



always commutes at the level of the algebras.

Independence associated to a universal product

Suppose A_1 and A_2 are subalgebras of a NC-probability space (A, φ) .

Then the diagram



always commutes at the level of the algebras.

Independence thus amounts to the condition:

$$\varphi \circ (j_1 \coprod j_2) = \varphi|_{\mathcal{A}_1} \bullet \varphi|_{\mathcal{A}_2}.$$
Consider pairs

 $(A_1, \varphi_1), (A_2, \varphi_2), (A_3, \varphi_3), (C_1, \psi_1), (C_2, \psi_2)$

from \mathfrak{AwF} , and consider further the following natural conditions:

Consider pairs

$$(\mathcal{A}_1, \varphi_1), (\mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_2), (\mathcal{A}_3, \varphi_3), (\mathcal{C}_1, \psi_1), (\mathcal{C}_2, \psi_2)$$

from \mathfrak{AwF} , and consider further the following natural conditions:

(P1)
$$\varphi_1 \bullet \varphi_2 = \varphi_2 \bullet \varphi_1$$
 under the natural identification
 $\mathcal{A}_1 \coprod \mathcal{A}_2 \simeq \mathcal{A}_2 \coprod \mathcal{A}_1.$

Consider pairs

$$(\mathcal{A}_1, \varphi_1), (\mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_2), (\mathcal{A}_3, \varphi_3), (\mathcal{C}_1, \psi_1), (\mathcal{C}_2, \psi_2)$$

from \mathfrak{AwF} , and consider further the following natural conditions:

(P1)
$$\varphi_1 \bullet \varphi_2 = \varphi_2 \bullet \varphi_1$$
 under the natural identification
 $\mathcal{A}_1 \coprod \mathcal{A}_2 \simeq \mathcal{A}_2 \coprod \mathcal{A}_1.$

(P2) $(\varphi_1 \bullet \varphi_2) \bullet \varphi_3 = \varphi_1 \bullet (\varphi_2 \bullet \varphi_3)$ under the natural identification $(\mathcal{A}_1 \coprod \mathcal{A}_2) \coprod \mathcal{A}_3 \simeq \mathcal{A}_1 \coprod (\mathcal{A}_2 \coprod \mathcal{A}_3).$

Consider pairs

$$(\mathcal{A}_1, \varphi_1), (\mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_2), (\mathcal{A}_3, \varphi_3), (\mathcal{C}_1, \psi_1), (\mathcal{C}_2, \psi_2)$$

from \mathfrak{AwF} , and consider further the following natural conditions:

(P1)
$$\varphi_1 \bullet \varphi_2 = \varphi_2 \bullet \varphi_1$$
 under the natural identification
 $\mathcal{A}_1 \coprod \mathcal{A}_2 \simeq \mathcal{A}_2 \coprod \mathcal{A}_1.$

(P2) $(\varphi_1 \bullet \varphi_2) \bullet \varphi_3 = \varphi_1 \bullet (\varphi_2 \bullet \varphi_3)$ under the natural identification $(\mathcal{A}_1 \coprod \mathcal{A}_2) \coprod \mathcal{A}_3 \simeq \mathcal{A}_1 \coprod (\mathcal{A}_2 \coprod \mathcal{A}_3).$

(P3) $(\varphi_1 \bullet \varphi_2) \circ \iota_i = \varphi_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$

Natural conditions to impose on "•"

Consider pairs

$$(\mathcal{A}_1, \varphi_1), (\mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_2), (\mathcal{A}_3, \varphi_3), (\mathcal{C}_1, \psi_1), (\mathcal{C}_2, \psi_2)$$

from \mathfrak{AwF} , and consider further the following natural conditions:

(P1)
$$\varphi_1 \bullet \varphi_2 = \varphi_2 \bullet \varphi_1$$
 under the natural identification
 $\mathcal{A}_1 \coprod \mathcal{A}_2 \simeq \mathcal{A}_2 \coprod \mathcal{A}_1.$

(P2) $(\varphi_1 \bullet \varphi_2) \bullet \varphi_3 = \varphi_1 \bullet (\varphi_2 \bullet \varphi_3)$ under the natural identification $(\mathcal{A}_1 \coprod \mathcal{A}_2) \coprod \mathcal{A}_3 \simeq \mathcal{A}_1 \coprod (\mathcal{A}_2 \coprod \mathcal{A}_3).$

(P3) $(\varphi_1 \bullet \varphi_2) \circ \iota_i = \varphi_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$

(P4) Suppose we have homomorphisms $j_i : C_i \to A_i$, such that $\psi_i = \varphi_i \circ j_i$, i = 1, 2.

Natural conditions to impose on "•"

Consider pairs

$$(\mathcal{A}_1, \varphi_1), (\mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_2), (\mathcal{A}_3, \varphi_3), (\mathcal{C}_1, \psi_1), (\mathcal{C}_2, \psi_2)$$

from \mathfrak{AwF} , and consider further the following natural conditions:

(P1)
$$\varphi_1 \bullet \varphi_2 = \varphi_2 \bullet \varphi_1$$
 under the natural identification
 $\mathcal{A}_1 \coprod \mathcal{A}_2 \simeq \mathcal{A}_2 \coprod \mathcal{A}_1.$

(P2) $(\varphi_1 \bullet \varphi_2) \bullet \varphi_3 = \varphi_1 \bullet (\varphi_2 \bullet \varphi_3)$ under the natural identification $(\mathcal{A}_1 \coprod \mathcal{A}_2) \coprod \mathcal{A}_3 \simeq \mathcal{A}_1 \coprod (\mathcal{A}_2 \coprod \mathcal{A}_3).$

(P3) $(\varphi_1 \bullet \varphi_2) \circ \iota_i = \varphi_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$

(P4) Suppose we have homomorphisms $j_i : C_i \to A_i$, such that $\psi_i = \varphi_i \circ j_i$, i = 1, 2. Then

$$(\varphi_1 \bullet \varphi_2) \circ (j_1 \coprod j_2) = \psi_1 \bullet \psi_2.$$

Independence associated to products

Universal products

Case study: Boolean Convolution

(P4) in terms of diagrams:



Theorem [Speicher, Ghorbal+Schürmann, Muraki]. There are exactly 3 (non-degenerate) universal products satisfying the conditions (P1)-(P4), namely

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Theorem [Speicher, Ghorbal+Schürmann, Muraki]. There are exactly 3 (non-degenerate) universal products satisfying the conditions (P1)-(P4), namely

• The *tensor* product "⊗".



Theorem [Speicher, Ghorbal+Schürmann, Muraki]. There are exactly 3 (non-degenerate) universal products satisfying the conditions (P1)-(P4), namely

- The *tensor* product " \otimes ".
- The *free* product "*".

Theorem [Speicher, Ghorbal+Schürmann, Muraki]. There are exactly 3 (non-degenerate) universal products satisfying the conditions (P1)-(P4), namely

- The *tensor* product "⊗".
- The *free* product "*".
- The *boolean* product "\$".

Theorem [Speicher, Ghorbal+Schürmann, Muraki]. There are exactly 3 (non-degenerate) universal products satisfying the conditions (P1)-(P4), namely

- The *tensor* product "⊗".
- The *free* product "*".
- The *boolean* product "\$".

There are exactly two more universal products satisfying only (P2)-(P4), namely

Theorem [Speicher, Ghorbal+Schürmann, Muraki]. There are exactly 3 (non-degenerate) universal products satisfying the conditions (P1)-(P4), namely

- The *tensor* product "⊗".
- The *free* product "*".
- The *boolean* product "\$".

There are exactly two more universal products satisfying only (P2)-(P4), namely

• The *monotone* product ">".

Theorem [Speicher, Ghorbal+Schürmann, Muraki]. There are exactly 3 (non-degenerate) universal products satisfying the conditions (P1)-(P4), namely

- The *tensor* product "⊗".
- The *free* product "*".
- The *boolean* product "\$".

There are exactly two more universal products satisfying only (P2)-(P4), namely

- The *monotone* product ">".
- The *anti-monotone* product "⊲".

Let $(\mathcal{A}_1, \varphi_1)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_2)$ be pairs from $\mathfrak{Aw}\mathfrak{F}$.

(ロト 《聞 》 《臣 》 《臣 》 三回 うえの

> < = > < = >

Definitions of the 5 universal products

Let $(\mathcal{A}_1, \varphi_1)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_2)$ be pairs from $\mathfrak{Aw}\mathfrak{F}$.

Let further a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n be elements from $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1 \coprod \mathcal{A}_2$, such that

$$a_i \in \mathcal{A}_1 \Rightarrow a_{i+1} \in \mathcal{A}_2, \quad ext{and} \quad a_i \in \mathcal{A}_2 \Rightarrow a_{i+1} \in \mathcal{A}_1$$

for all *i* in $\{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$.

Definitions of the 5 universal products

Let $(\mathcal{A}_1, \varphi_1)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_2)$ be pairs from $\mathfrak{Aw}\mathfrak{F}$.

Let further a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n be elements from $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1 \coprod \mathcal{A}_2$, such that

$$a_i \in \mathcal{A}_1 \Rightarrow a_{i+1} \in \mathcal{A}_2, \quad \text{and} \quad a_i \in \mathcal{A}_2 \Rightarrow a_{i+1} \in \mathcal{A}_1$$

for all *i* in $\{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$.

The set of elements of the form $a_1a_2 \cdots a_n$ (subject to the conditions above) generate $A_1 \coprod A_2$.

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Definitions of the 5 universal products

Let $(\mathcal{A}_1, \varphi_1)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_2, \varphi_2)$ be pairs from $\mathfrak{Aw}\mathfrak{F}$.

Let further a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n be elements from $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1 \coprod \mathcal{A}_2$, such that

$$a_i \in \mathcal{A}_1 \Rightarrow a_{i+1} \in \mathcal{A}_2, \quad ext{and} \quad a_i \in \mathcal{A}_2 \Rightarrow a_{i+1} \in \mathcal{A}_1$$

for all *i* in $\{1, 2, ..., n-1\}$.

The set of elements of the form $a_1a_2 \cdots a_n$ (subject to the conditions above) generate $A_1 \coprod A_2$.

Suppose for simplicity of notation that n is odd and that

 $a_1, a_3, a_5, \ldots, a_n \in \mathcal{A}_1$, and $a_2, a_4, a_6, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in \mathcal{A}_2$.

• The tensor product $\varphi_1\otimes \varphi_2$ is defined by:

```
\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2(a_1a_2\cdots a_n) = \varphi_1(a_1a_3\cdots a_n)\varphi_2(a_2a_4\cdots a_{n-1}).
```



- The tensor product φ₁ ⊗ φ₂ is defined by:
 φ₁ ⊗ φ₂(a₁a₂ ··· a_n) = φ₁(a₁a₃ ··· a_n)φ₂(a₂a₄ ··· a_{n-1}).
- The free product $\varphi_1 \star \varphi_2$ is defined *recursively* by:

$$\varphi_1 \star \varphi_2(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) = \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1,2,\dots,n\}}} \varphi\left(\prod_{i \in I}^{\rightarrow} a_i\right) \left(\prod_{i \notin I} \varphi_{\epsilon(i)}(a_i)\right)$$

Definitions of the 5 universal products

- The tensor product φ₁ ⊗ φ₂ is defined by:
 φ₁ ⊗ φ₂(a₁a₂ ··· a_n) = φ₁(a₁a₃ ··· a_n)φ₂(a₂a₄ ··· a_{n-1}).
- The free product $\varphi_1 \star \varphi_2$ is defined *recursively* by:

$$\varphi_1 \star \varphi_2(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) = \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}}} \varphi\left(\prod_{i \in I} a_i\right) \left(\prod_{i \notin I} \varphi_{\epsilon(i)}(a_i)\right)$$

where $\epsilon(i) = 1 + 1_{2\mathbb{N}}(i)$.

- The tensor product φ₁ ⊗ φ₂ is defined by:
 φ₁ ⊗ φ₂(a₁a₂ ··· a_n) = φ₁(a₁a₃ ··· a_n)φ₂(a₂a₄ ··· a_{n-1}).
- The free product $\varphi_1 \star \varphi_2$ is defined *recursively* by:

$$\varphi_1 \star \varphi_2(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) = \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}}} \varphi\left(\prod_{i \in I} a_i\right) \left(\prod_{i \notin I} \varphi_{\epsilon(i)}(a_i)\right)$$

where $\epsilon(i) = 1 + 1_{2\mathbb{N}}(i)$.

• The boolean product $\varphi_1 \diamond \varphi_2$ is defined by

$$\varphi \diamond \varphi_2(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_{\epsilon(i)}(a_i)$$
$$= \varphi_1(a_1)\varphi_2(a_2)\varphi_1(a_3)\cdots\varphi_2(a_{n-1})\varphi_1(a_n).$$

• The monotone product $\varphi_1 \rhd \varphi_2$ is defined by

$$\varphi_1 \triangleright \varphi_2(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) = \varphi_1 \left(\prod_{i: \epsilon(i)=1}^{\rightarrow} a_i\right) \left(\prod_{i: \epsilon(i)=2}^{\rightarrow} \varphi_2(a_i)\right)$$
$$= \varphi_1(a_1 a_3 \cdots a_n) \varphi_2(a_2) \varphi_2(a_4) \cdots \varphi_2(a_{n-1})$$

• The monotone product $\varphi_1 \rhd \varphi_2$ is defined by

$$\varphi_1 \triangleright \varphi_2(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) = \varphi_1 \left(\prod_{i: \epsilon(i)=1}^{\rightarrow} a_i\right) \left(\prod_{i: \epsilon(i)=2}^{\rightarrow} \varphi_2(a_i)\right)$$
$$= \varphi_1(a_1 a_3 \cdots a_n) \varphi_2(a_2) \varphi_2(a_4) \cdots \varphi_2(a_{n-1})$$

• The anti-monotone product $\varphi_1 \triangleleft \varphi_2$ is defined by

$$\varphi_1 \triangleleft \varphi_2(a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n) = \left(\prod_{i: \epsilon(i)=1} \varphi_1(a_i)\right) \varphi_2\left(\prod_{i: \epsilon(i)=2} \stackrel{\rightarrow}{a_i}\right)$$
$$= \varphi_1(a_1)\varphi_1(a_3) \cdots \varphi_1(a_n)\varphi_2(a_2 a_4 \cdots a_{n-1}).$$

Suppose μ and ν are (compactly supported) probability measures on \mathbb{R} .

Suppose μ and ν are (compactly supported) probability measures on \mathbb{R} .

Then choose a, b in a NC-probability space (\mathcal{A}, φ) , such that

Suppose μ and ν are (compactly supported) probability measures on \mathbb{R} .

Then choose a, b in a NC-probability space (\mathcal{A}, φ) , such that

 $\bullet \ alg\{a\} \text{ and } alg\{b\} \text{ are } \bullet\text{-independent}.$

(4月) (4日) (4日) 日

Convolutions associated to •-independence

Suppose μ and ν are (compactly supported) probability measures on \mathbb{R} .

Then choose a, b in a NC-probability space (\mathcal{A}, φ) , such that

- $\bullet \ alg\{a\} \mbox{ and } alg\{b\} \mbox{ are } \bullet\mbox{-independent}.$
- $\varphi(a^p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^p \, \mu(\mathrm{d}t)$, and $\varphi(b^p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^p \, \nu(\mathrm{d}t)$ for all p in \mathbb{N} .

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6 - 日

Convolutions associated to •-independence

Suppose μ and ν are (compactly supported) probability measures on \mathbb{R} .

Then choose a, b in a NC-probability space (\mathcal{A}, φ) , such that

- $\bullet \ alg\{a\} \text{ and } alg\{b\} \text{ are } \bullet\text{-independent}.$
- $\varphi(a^p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^p \, \mu(\mathrm{d}t)$, and $\varphi(b^p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^p \, \nu(\mathrm{d}t)$ for all p in \mathbb{N} .

Then $\mu \Box \nu$ is the measure defined by:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{p} (\mu \Box \nu) (\mathrm{d}t) = \varphi ((a+b)^{p}).$$

(1) マン・ション (1) マン・ション (1)

Convolutions associated to •-independence

Suppose μ and ν are (compactly supported) probability measures on \mathbb{R} .

Then choose a, b in a NC-probability space (\mathcal{A}, φ) , such that

- $\bullet \ alg\{a\} \mbox{ and } alg\{b\} \mbox{ are } \bullet\mbox{-independent}.$
- $\varphi(a^p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^p \, \mu(\mathrm{d}t)$, and $\varphi(b^p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^p \, \nu(\mathrm{d}t)$ for all p in \mathbb{N} .

Then $\mu \Box \nu$ is the measure defined by:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{p} (\mu \Box \nu) (\mathrm{d}t) = \varphi ((a+b)^{p}).$$

Question: Why does this define a measure?

Suppose μ and ν are (compactly supported) probability measures on \mathbb{R} .

Then choose a, b in a NC-probability space (\mathcal{A}, φ) , such that

- $\bullet \ alg\{a\} \text{ and } alg\{b\} \text{ are } \bullet\text{-independent}.$
- $\varphi(a^p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^p \, \mu(\mathrm{d}t)$, and $\varphi(b^p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^p \, \nu(\mathrm{d}t)$ for all p in \mathbb{N} .

Then $\mu \Box \nu$ is the measure defined by:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} t^{p} (\mu \Box \nu) (\mathrm{d}t) = \varphi ((a+b)^{p}).$$

Question: Why does this define a measure?

General answer: Represent *a* and *b* as selfadjoint operators on a Hilbert space. Then $\mu \Box \nu$ is the spectral distribution of the selfadjoint operator a + b.

Sandbjerg, January 2010

Associated notion of infinite divisibility



Let μ be a (compactly supported) probability measure on \mathbb{R} , and consider the sequence $(m_k(\mu))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of moments for μ .

Let μ be a (compactly supported) probability measure on \mathbb{R} , and consider the sequence $(m_k(\mu))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of moments for μ .

Then the sequence $(r_k(\mu))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Boolean cummulants for μ is defined recursively by

 $r_1(\mu) = m_1(\mu)$

Let μ be a (compactly supported) probability measure on \mathbb{R} , and consider the sequence $(m_k(\mu))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of moments for μ .

Then the sequence $(r_k(\mu))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Boolean cummulants for μ is defined recursively by

$$r_1(\mu) = m_1(\mu)$$

and

$$m_k(\mu) = \sum_{p=1}^k \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_p \geq 1 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_p = k}} r_{i_1}(\mu) r_{i_2}(\mu) \cdots r_{i_p}(\mu), \qquad (k \geq 2).$$

Let μ be a (compactly supported) probability measure on \mathbb{R} , and consider the sequence $(m_k(\mu))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of moments for μ .

Then the sequence $(r_k(\mu))_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of Boolean cummulants for μ is defined recursively by

$$r_1(\mu) = m_1(\mu)$$

and

$$m_k(\mu) = \sum_{p=1}^k \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_p \geq 1 \\ i_1 + \dots + i_p = k}} r_{i_1}(\mu) r_{i_2}(\mu) \cdots r_{i_p}(\mu), \qquad (k \geq 2).$$

Equivalently,

$$m_k(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i(\mu) m_{k-i}(\mu), \qquad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$
Linearizing property

Suppose μ and ν are (compactly supported) probability measures on \mathbb{R} , and denote by $\mu \Box \nu$ their boolean convolution.

Linearizing property

Suppose μ and ν are (compactly supported) probability measures on \mathbb{R} , and denote by $\mu \Box \nu$ their boolean convolution.

We then have

$$r_k(\mu\Box\nu) = r_k(\mu) + r_k(\nu), \qquad (k\in\mathbb{N}).$$

Suppose μ and ν are (compactly supported) probability measures on \mathbb{R} , and consider the Laurent series:

$$G_{\mu}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_n(\mu) z^{-n-1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{t-z} \, \mu(\mathrm{d}t),$$

Suppose μ and ν are (compactly supported) probability measures on \mathbb{R} , and consider the Laurent series:

$$G_{\mu}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_n(\mu) z^{-n-1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{t-z} \,\mu(\mathrm{d} t),$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mu}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_k(\mu) z^{-k+1}.$$

Suppose μ and ν are (compactly supported) probability measures on \mathbb{R} , and consider the Laurent series:

$$G_{\mu}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_n(\mu) z^{-n-1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{t-z} \mu(\mathrm{d} t),$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mu}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}r_k(\mu)z^{-k+1}.$$

Then

(i)
$$K_{\mu}(z) = z - \frac{1}{G_{\mu}(z)}$$
.

Suppose μ and ν are (compactly supported) probability measures on \mathbb{R} , and consider the Laurent series:

$$G_{\mu}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_n(\mu) z^{-n-1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{t-z} \,\mu(\mathrm{d} t),$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mu}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_k(\mu) z^{-k+1}.$$

Then

(i)
$$K_{\mu}(z) = z - \frac{1}{G_{\mu}(z)}$$
.

(ii)
$$K_{\mu \Box \nu}(z) = K_{\mu}(z) + K_{\nu}(z).$$

æ

・ロト ・部ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Proof of (i)

Note that

$$\begin{split} G(z)\mathcal{K}(z) &= \Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_n(\mu) z^{-n-1}\Big)\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_k(\mu) z^{-k+1}\Big) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} r_k(\mu) m_{l-k}(\mu)\Big) z^{-\ell} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} m_\ell(\mu) z^{-\ell} \\ &= z G_\mu(z) - 1. \end{split}$$

Nevalinna-type characterization of K_{μ}

For a function $\mathcal{K}\colon \mathbb{C}^+\to \mathbb{C}$ the following conditions are equivalent:



Nevalinna-type characterization of K_{μ}

For a function $\mathcal{K} \colon \mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $K = K_{\mu}$ for some probability measure μ on \mathbb{R} .

Nevalinna-type characterization of K_{μ}

For a function $K \colon \mathbb{C}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $K = K_{\mu}$ for some probability measure μ on \mathbb{R} .

(ii) There exists a finite measure τ on $\mathbb R$ and a real constant ${\it a},$ such that

$$\mathcal{K}(z) = \mathbf{a} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+tz}{z-t} \, \tau(\mathrm{d} t), \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}^+).$$

Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathbb R,$ and choose a finite measure τ on $\mathbb R$ and a real constant a, such that

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mu}(z) = \mathsf{a} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} rac{1+tz}{z-t} \, au(\mathrm{d} t), \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}^+).$$

Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathbb R,$ and choose a finite measure τ on $\mathbb R$ and a real constant a, such that

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mu}(z) = a + \int_{\mathbb{R}} rac{1+tz}{z-t} \, au(\mathrm{d} t), \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}^+).$$

For *n* in \mathbb{N} , let μ_n be the probability measure on \mathbb{R} such that

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mu_n}(z) = n^{-1}a + n^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+tz}{z-t} \, \tau(\mathrm{d}t), \qquad (z\in\mathbb{C}^+).$$

Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathbb R,$ and choose a finite measure τ on $\mathbb R$ and a real constant a, such that

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mu}(z) = \mathbf{a} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} rac{1+tz}{z-t} \, au(\mathrm{d} t), \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}^+).$$

For *n* in \mathbb{N} , let μ_n be the probability measure on \mathbb{R} such that

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mu_n}(z) = n^{-1}a + n^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+tz}{z-t} \, \tau(\mathrm{d}t), \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}^+).$$

Then for any z in \mathbb{C}^+

$$\mathcal{K}_{\underbrace{\mu_n \Box \cdots \Box \mu_n}_{n \text{ terms}}}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{K}_{\mu_n}(z) = n \mathcal{K}_{\mu_n}(z) = \mathcal{K}_{\mu}(z).$$

Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathbb R,$ and choose a finite measure τ on $\mathbb R$ and a real constant a, such that

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mu}(z) = \mathbf{a} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} rac{1+tz}{z-t} \, au(\mathrm{d} t), \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}^+).$$

For *n* in \mathbb{N} , let μ_n be the probability measure on \mathbb{R} such that

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mu_n}(z) = n^{-1}a + n^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+tz}{z-t} \, \tau(\mathrm{d}t), \qquad (z \in \mathbb{C}^+).$$

Then for any z in \mathbb{C}^+

$$\mathcal{K}_{\underbrace{\mu_n \Box \cdots \Box \mu_n}_{n \text{ terms}}}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathcal{K}_{\mu_n}(z) = n \mathcal{K}_{\mu_n}(z) = \mathcal{K}_{\mu}(z).$$

By uniqueness of Cauchy transforms, this means that

$$\mu_n \Box \cdots \Box \mu_n = \mu.$$

The Boolean Central Limit Theorem

Let μ be a probability measure on \mathbb{R} with mean 0 and variance σ^2 , and for each *n* in \mathbb{N} , let μ_n be the measure defined by

$$\mu_n(B) = \mu(\sigma\sqrt{n}B), \qquad (B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})).$$

The Boolean Central Limit Theorem

Let μ be a probability measure on \mathbb{R} with mean 0 and variance σ^2 , and for each *n* in \mathbb{N} , let μ_n be the measure defined by

$$\mu_n(B) = \mu(\sigma\sqrt{n}B), \qquad (B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})).$$

Then

$$\mu_n \Box \cdots \Box \mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{-1} + \delta_1), \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Classical and free Lévy-Khintchine representation

A probability measure μ on $\mathbb R$ is infinitely-divisible w.r.t. classical convolution, if and only if there exists a finite measure σ and a real constant γ such that

$$\log f_{\mu}(u) = \gamma + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\mathrm{e}^{iut} - 1 - \frac{iut}{1+t^2} \right) \frac{1+t^2}{t^2} \sigma(\mathrm{d}t), \qquad (u \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Classical and free Lévy-Khintchine representation

A probability measure μ on $\mathbb R$ is infinitely-divisible w.r.t. classical convolution, if and only if there exists a finite measure σ and a real constant γ such that

$$\log f_{\mu}(u) = \gamma + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{iut} - 1 - \frac{iut}{1+t^2} \right) \frac{1+t^2}{t^2} \sigma(\mathrm{d}t), \qquad (u \in \mathbb{R}).$$

A probability measure μ on $\mathbb R$ is infinitely-divisible w.r.t. free convolution, if and only if there exists a finite measure σ and a real constant γ such that

$$z\mathcal{G}_{\mu}^{\langle -1
angle}(z)-1=\gamma z+\int_{\mathbb{R}}rac{z^{2}+tz}{1-tz}\,\sigma(\mathrm{d} t).$$